EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Law Offices

KELLER AND HECKMAN LLP

1001 G STREET, N.W. SUITE 500 WEST WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001 Telephone (202) 434-4100 FACSIMILE (202) 434-4646

BOULEVARD LOUIS SCHMIDT 87 B-1040 BRUSSELS TELEPHONE 32(2) 732 52 80 FACSIMILE 32(2) 732 53 92

JOSEPH E. KELLER (1907-1994)
JEROME H. HECKMAN
WILLIAM H. BORGHESANI, JR.
MALCOLM D. MACARTHUR
WAYNE V. BLACK
TERRENCE D. JONES
MARTIN W. BERCOVICI
FOR HELD SERCOVICI
FOR HELD SERCOMEN
ALFRED S. REGINERY
ALFRED S. REGINERY

DLEY

SHENCIK YON ZEBINSKY®

A BONANNO®

BODANNO® TKOWSKI*

*NOT ADMITTED IN D.C. *RESIDENT BRUSSELS

TELECOMMUNICATIONS ENGINEER RANDALL D. YOUNG WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER (202) 434-4210

SCIENTIFIC STAFF

DANIEL S. DIXLER, PH. D.

CHARLES V. BREDER, PH. D. ROBERT A. MATHEWS, Ph. D., D.A.B.T.

JOHN P. MODDERMAN, PH. D.

HOLLY HUTMIRE FOLEY

LESTER BORODINSKY, PH. D.

THOMAS C. BROWN♦

MICHAEL T. FLOOD, PH. D. ANDREW P. JOVANOVICH, PH. D. EUGENIA M. BRAZWELL, PH. D.

September 12, 1996

RECEIVED

ISEP. 1 2 1996

VIA HAND DELIVERY

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SEGRETARY

Mr. William F. Caton Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

> FCC WT Docket No. 58-148; Re:

Geographic Partitioning and Spectrum Disaggregation by Commercial Mobile Radio Licensees; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Dear Mr. Caton:

On August 15, 1996, on behalf of the National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative (NRTC), the undersigned filed Comments in connection with the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Notice) in the above-captioned proceeding. (FCC 96-287, July 15, 1996.) The purpose of this ex parte presentation is to clarify and revise those Comments as described herein.

Under the Commission's current rules, only rural telephone companies are eligible to receive a partitioned PCS license. The Commission established geographic partitioning provisions for rural telephone companies in the Competitive Bidding Fifth Report and Order, 9 FCC Rcd. 5532 (1994). In that proceeding, the Commission determined that partitioning would satisfy a Congressional mandate to provide opportunities for rural telephone companies to participate at auction and in the provision of broadband PCS. The Commission also noted that rural telephone companies could take advantage of their existing infrastructure to provide broadband PCS services, thereby speeding service to rural areas. The Commission therefore decided to allow rural telephone companies to acquire partitioned licenses (i) by forming an auction bidding consortium comprised entirely of rural telcos, and partitioning the license(s)

> No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

EX PARTE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Mr. William F. Caton September 12, 1996 Page 2

won among consortium members; or (ii) through private negotiation, either before or after an auction. The rules adopted require that the partitioned area be reasonably related to the rural telephone companies' wireline service area. Other obligations are imposed, as well.

In its Notice, the Commission has solicited comment on whether liberalizing the geographic partitioning rules to allow others to seek partitioned PCS licenses would lessen rural telephone companies' ability to participate in the provision of broadband PCS. NRTC submitted Comments responsive to this proposal.

NRTC's Comments are hereby revised to the extent they supported the Commission's proposal to expand eligibility to receive partitioned licenses beyond the currently authorized rural telephone companies. NRTC does <u>not</u> advocate expansion of partitioning beyond rural telephone companies. In particular, it does not support any proposal that would reduce the preference that already permits rural telephone companies alone to partition PCS licenses covering their wireline service areas. To the contrary, NRTC's Comments were filed primarily to express NRTC's willingness to consider serving as a spectrum clearinghouse should the Commission adopt its proposals.

We appreciate this opportunity to elaborate on NRTC's Comments in this proceeding. Should you have any questions or require any additional information, please feel free to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

Jack Richards

Counsel for National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative

Cf., Reply Comments of the Ad Hoc Rural Telecommunications Group, at page 8, footnote 21, and the Reply Comments of US West, at page 5, footnote 20.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Jennifer M. Cardin, a legal secretary at the law firm of Keller and Heckman LLP, certify on this Athan day of September, 1996, copies of Ex Parte September 12, 1996 letter to William F. Caton were sent by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to the following individuals:

- * Sandra Danner, Acting Chief Federal Communications Commission Commercial Wireless Division Wireless Telecommunications Bureau 2025 M Street, N.W., Suite 7002 Washington, D.C. 20554
- * Mika Savir
 Federal Communications Commission
 Commercial Wireless Division
 Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
 2025 M Street, N.W., Suite 7002
 Washington, D.C. 20554

David Cosson
L. Marie Guillory
National Telephone Cooperative Association
2626 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037

Caressa D. Bennet
Dorothy Coukier
Bennet and Bennet PLLC
1019 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20036
Ad Hoc Counsel for Rural Telecommunications Group

Jeffrey S. Bork
Dan L. Poole
U.S. West, Inc.
1020 19th Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20036

Jennifer M. Cardin (asak)